Crestmont Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year

Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

School Contact Information			
School Name	Crestmont Elementary School		
Street	1501 Sheridan Ave.		
City, State, Zip	Roseville, CA 95661		
Phone Number	916 771-1750		
Principal	Jeri Farmer		
E-mail Address	jfarmer@rcsdk8.org		
Web Site	http://www.rcsdk8.org		
CDS Code	31-66910-6031231		

District Contact Information			
District Name	Roseville City School District		
Phone Number	(916) 771-1600		
Superintendent	Derk Garcia		
E-mail Address			
Web Site	rcsdk8.org		

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

Mission:

The mission of Crestmont Elementary School is to maximize learning for each student based on the MASTER school domains, encourage a desire for learning and promote a sense of gratitude and respect for others following the PBIS guidelines.

Vision:

The Crestmont School Staff will become a collaborative community of professional learners that is:

- Committed to the continuous improvement of each student and staff member.
- Results oriented

Focused on learning Collective Commitments:

- We will clearly communicate the mission and vision of our School
- We will share accountability for fulfilling our mission
- We will develop and implement effective systems to support our vision
- We will use data to inform our decisions
- We will operate as a professional learning community
- We will provide training and support needed to increase the capacity of our staff to fulfill our mission and realize our vision.
- We will collaborate with staff and as grade level teams
- We will nurture high levels of support and trust among all members of the Crestmont School Community

Teachers, parents, and students have continued to make technology a high priority at Crestmont School. Classroom and lab computers continue to be upgraded, funded through grants, PTC and site monies. Document cameras and LCD projectors were purchased by our Parent/Teacher Club for all classroom teachers to support instruction and student learning. Crestmont teachers have received training and support to integrate various curricular technologies into the district approved curriculum. Another major focus of the Roseville City School District and Crestmont School is Effective First Instruction and Response to Intervention to support all students at their level of academic achievement. Teachers incorporate the elements of Effective First Instruction on a daily basis. They meet regularly in site-based learning teams in order to support student learning through data analysis of assessments and curriculum development. Staff members meet in Professional Learning Communities with time allocated by the Roseville City School District in order to improve student learning and instructional strategies.

Crestmont serves 510 students in grades transitional kindergarten through five. The passage of local Measure H Bond in 2002, afforded remodeling of site-wide infrastructure, heating, air conditioning, and construction of a multi-purpose room for assemblies and serving indoor lunches. The bond also provided improved technological access that supports Crestmont's focus on technology for students through a variety of computer programs. Classroom diversity at Crestmont has enhanced learning opportunities for every individual on campus. Students in fifth-grade classes have worked as peer tutors for the primary and intermediate special day and mainstream classes. Our English language learners benefit from differentiated instructional strategies within their mainstream curriculum. The Lifeskills Character Development Program extends from campus activities into the home via staff-mentored parent training. The school also encourages the arts through the art docent program. We also keep our students on the move, literally, through our parent/staff sponsored running club offered during lunch recess

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	101
Grade 1	66
Grade 2	75
Grade 3	77
Grade 4	92
Grade 5	86
Total Enrollment	497

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.8
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.8
Asian	2.8
Filipino	0.6
Hispanic or Latino	28.2
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.8
White	54.7
Two or More Races	2.8
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	42.3
English Learners	9.1
Students with Disabilities	5.8
Foster Youth	0.8

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

		District		
Teachers	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	20	22	20	470
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	1

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which data were collected: 9/7/17

Roseville City Elementary held a public hearing on September 7, 2017 and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards- aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark ELA	Yes	0
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin 2015	Yes	0
Science	Science MacMillan/ McGraw Hill 2008	Yes	0
History-Social Science	History/Social Science Holt, Rinehart & Winston 2006 Pearson Scott Foresman 2007	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

General

The District takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the District uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the Maintenance Office.

Maintenance and Repairs:

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The District annually inspects wheelchair lifts, tests fire extinguishers, inspects and services all playground backboards, replaces bark on playgrounds and landscaping, and services HVAC units.

Overall Summary of School Facilities Repair Status: Exemplary

The inspection included a check of possible gas leaks, mechanical systems, interior and exterior doors and windows, interior surface areas, structural damage, electrical, playground equipment, and hazardous materials. In all areas this school passed inspection.

Cleaning Process and Schedule:

The District has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the District. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

^{*} Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Deferred Maintenance Budget:

The District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repairs or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. Since 2008-2009 the State has suspended the program due to State Budget Reductions. The District still maintains its own program.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the most recently collected FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/2017						
Ct 1	Repair Status			Repair Needed and		
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х					
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х					
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х					
Electrical: Electrical	Х					
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х					
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х					
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х					

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/2017					
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
Overall Rating	Х				

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)						
Subject	School		District		State		
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	53	48	63	63	48	48	
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	42	38	53	51	36	37	

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	259	257	99.23	48.25
Male	145	144	99.31	36.81
Female	114	113	99.12	62.83
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	81	81	100	43.21
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	126	126	100	48.41
Two or More Races	12	12	100	58.33
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	123	121	98.37	37.19
English Learners	38	38	100	36.84
Students with Disabilities	18	18	100	11.11
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	259	257	99.23	38.13
Male	145	144	99.31	41.67
Female	114	113	99.12	33.63
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian		-	1	
Filipino		1	1	
Hispanic or Latino	81	81	100	29.63
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		1	1	
White	126	126	100	40.48
Two or More Races	12	12	100	16.67
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	123	121	98.37	28.1
English Learners	38	38	100	39.47
Students with Disabilities	18	18	100	11.11
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced							
	Sch	School		trict	State			
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16		
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	66	75	73	73	60	56		

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade	Perce	nt of Students Meeting Fitness Standards			
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards		
5	23.3	20.9	20.9		

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Parents are a vital part of Crestmont School. They actively participate in the School Site Council, English Learner Advisory Committee, Parent/Teacher Club, Art Docent, and as volunteers in the classrooms, library, and technology center. The Crestmont staff feels it is important to keep parents and guardians informed during the school year. Parents receive information regarding school activities weekly and through monthly newsletters published by school and PTC, as well as through classroom communication by teachers and through the Remind App, School Messenger System, provided by the Roseville City School District. The PTC coordinates parent volunteer opportunities through the annual Carnival, Holiday Shop, Father/Daughter Dance, Mother/Son Sports Night, Family Movie Nights, skate nights, and the Jog-a-thon. For more information please contact: Corina Hawkins chawkins@rcsdk8.org PTC President, (916)771-1750 or at http://www.crestmontptc.org. Follow Crestmont on RCSD Crestmont.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Data.	School			District			State		
Rate	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	1.4	1.1	2.8	3.0	2.7	3.2	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

Crestmont School has a School Site Safety Committee, which meets each year to review safety needs of the school and make necessary recommendations. In addition, the Roseville City School District has a Safety Committee comprised of certificated and classified employees that meets annually and makes reviews of our school facility. Both the Crestmont School Site Safety Committee and the District Safety Committee have stated that Crestmont School is safe and clean. The Roseville City School District has developed a Crisis Response Plan that outlines procedures to be followed in the event of an emergency affecting the school site. The plan includes procedures for coordinating resources to respond to any emergency including natural disasters, environmental health issues, accidents, or intruders. Staff members have been assigned roles and are trained to work efficiently with each other and with other public service officers to ensure the safety of students and staff. The Crestmont School Site Council Reviews the plan annually by March 1, and makes appropriate changes and updates when necessary. There are monthly fire drills. Duck and Cover and Lockdown drills are done throughout the school year. Date of review of the Crisis Response Plan, March 1, 2017. Date last discussed with the staff: March 2017 and will be reviewed in March 2018. The Crestmont School staff, students, and parents participate in the PBIS Program. Each month an individual PBIS skill is highlighted and students are recognized for their positive behavior and actions at the PBIS cart drawings.

Lockdown process and procedures are fully developed.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2012-2013
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	3
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	100

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

	2014-15			2015-16			2016-17					
Grade	Avg.	Num	ber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Avg. Number of Classes			Avg. Nu		mber of Classes	
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
К	24		3		22		5		21	6	19	
1	23		3		23		6		21	6	12	
2	22	1	1		18	2	3		24		18	
3	35		2	1	20	5	1		26		18	
4	22	1	3		33			3	31		18	
5	33			2	33			5	29		18	
Other	13	1										

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor		
Academic Counselor	0	0		
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A		
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A		
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.5	N/A		
Psychologist	.5	N/A		
Social Worker	0	N/A		
Nurse	.2	N/A		
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	.5	N/A		
Resource Specialist	1	N/A		
Other	1.5	N/A		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

		Average			
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary	
School Site	\$8,998	\$2,657	\$6,341	\$76,930	
District	N/A	N/A	\$6,509	\$80,553	
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-2.6	-5.0	
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	\$78,363	
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-5.6	-0.3	

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

The annual funding plan concentrates on providing students with materials, tools and experiences to enrich their education. Goals and progress are reviewed annually as a staff, to focus on our student needs when planning the budget.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,775	\$48,678
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$81,529	\$78,254
Highest Teacher Salary	\$94,924	\$96,372
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$110,722	\$122,364
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$125,320	\$125,958
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$126,758
Superintendent Salary	\$197,499	\$212,818
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	45%	38%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

^{*}One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

In alignment with the Roseville City School District board goals, the Professional Development Advisory Committee (PDAC) plan was developed to create a comprehensive three-year professional development plan for the District. Training is provided to administrators and teachers that align with this plan during four professional development days. In addition, the school sites are provided three additional professional development days to focus on school site goals.

Other areas of professional development at Crestmont include: The focus this year is on speaking and listening skills. The skills of listening to your partner and paraphrasing what you heard is essential for all students. Speaking well and articulating your thoughts with a partner and in front of the class are really well developed. We are focusing on Mathematical fluency, ELD and Reading this school year.