Thomas Jefferson Elementary School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)

School Contact Info	School Contact Information				
School Name	Thomas Jefferson Elementary School				
Street	750 Central Park Dr.				
City, State, Zip	Roseville, CA 95678				
Phone Number	(916) 771-1840				
Principal	Kirsten Thomas-Acke				
E-mail Address	kthomas-acke@rcsdk8.org				
Web Site	www.rcsdk8.org				
CDS Code	31969100102798				

District Contact Information			
District Name	Roseville City School District		
Phone Number	(916) 771-1600		
Superintendent	Derk Garcia		
E-mail Address			
Web Site	www.rcsdk8.org		

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

Thomas Jefferson Elementary School is on of 19 schools in the Roseville City School District. It opened it doors in 2004 and our staff is honored to serve our neighborhood community by providing a quality transitional kindergarten through fifth grade program that is grounded in quality first instruction. Since the school's opening our staff has strived to be innovative and trendsetters with our teaching. The Thomas Jefferson curriculum is based on the rigorous Common Core State Standards.

Thomas Jefferson is committed to the individual needs of our students. We are dedicated to improving student performance, teacher instruction, and the enhancement of knowledge and skills required for working, living, and learning in our ever-changing society. Our students participate in a range of programs including: art, music, physical education, media, social-emotional learning, and technology. Each day our students are taught to model character, integrity, and maturity through our PBIS programs as they strive to grow into productive citizens. The Thomas Jefferson Students embrace the over arching PBIS expectations of R.I.S.E., where students are taught to and encouraged to; show Respect, show Integrity, be Safe and be Engaged.

The Thomas Jefferson Mission Statement and collective commitments embrace the following: Thomas Jefferson's Mission:?

Every student learns at high levels everyday; in an educational community that believes in creating life-long learners and problemsolvers.

Thomas Jefferson's Collective Commitments:

We are committed to creating an maintaining a nurturing, emotionally and physically safe learning environment.

We are committed to collaborating with our peers to improve our practice.

We are committed to utilizing data to improve instruction and student achievement.

We are committed to sustaining collaborative relationships amongst stakeholders to achieve common goals.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	109
Grade 1	89
Grade 2	69
Grade 3	83
Grade 4	59
Grade 5	81
Total Enrollment	490

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	1.8
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.8
Asian	10.2
Filipino	4.7
Hispanic or Latino	16.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.6
White	55.9
Two or More Races	3.9
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	16.9
English Learners	14.5
Students with Disabilities	9.2
Foster Youth	0.2

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials

T		District		
Teachers	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	20	20	21	470
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	1

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

^{*} Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

Year and month in which data were collected: 9/7/17

Roseville City Elementary held a public hearing on September 7, 2017 and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards- aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark	Yes	0
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin 2015	Yes	0
Science	Science MacMillan/ McGraw Hill 2008	Yes	0
History-Social Science	History/Social Science Pearson Scott Foresman 2007	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

General

The District takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the District uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the Maintenance Office.

Maintenance and Repairs:

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The District annually inspects wheelchair lifts, tests fire extinguishers, inspects and services all playground backboards, replaces bark on playgrounds and landscaping, and services HVAC units.

School Facility Repair Status:

Summary of School Facilities Repair Status – Exemplary

The inspection included a check of possible gas leaks, mechanical systems, interior and exterior doors and windows, interior surface areas, structural damage, electrical, playground equipment, and hazardous materials.

Cleaning Process and Schedule:

The District has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the District. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. The principal signs off on inspections completed by custodians, on a weekly basis.

Deferred Maintenance Budget:

The District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repairs or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. Since 2008-2009 the State has suspended the program due to State Budget Reductions. The District still maintains its own program.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/2017						
	Repair Status			Repair Needed and		
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Х					
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Х					
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	Х					
Electrical: Electrical	Х					
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Х					
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Х					
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х					
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	Х					

Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/2017						
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor		
Overall Rating	Х					

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)						
Subject	School		District		State		
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	73	66	63	63	48	48	
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	64	59	53	51	36	37	

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	224	222	99.11	66.22
Male	124	123	99.19	62.6
Female	100	99	99	70.71
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	24	24	100	91.67
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	44	44	100	61.36
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	118	117	99.15	64.1
Two or More Races	15	15	100	46.67
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	44	44	100	43.18
English Learners	41	41	100	65.85
Students with Disabilities	39	39	100	20.51
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	224	222	99.11	58.56
Male	124	123	99.19	62.6
Female	100	99	99	53.54
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	24	24	100	87.5
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	44	44	100	45.45
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	118	117	99.15	60.68
Two or More Races	15	15	100	26.67
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	44	44	100	29.55
English Learners	41	41	100	60.98
Students with Disabilities	39	39	100	20.51
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3—Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced							
Subject	School		Dist	trict	State			
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16		
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	74	88	73	73	60	56		

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade	Perce	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards				
5	13.9	20.3	44.3				

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

Thomas Jefferson Elementary is grateful for the parents who volunteer on campus. Parents play very important roles at Thomas Jefferson Elementary through their active participation and involvement in the following: School Site Council, Parent Teacher Club (PTC), English Language Advisory Committee, WATCH D.O.G.S., Art Docent Program, volunteers in classrooms/field trips, and at various events.

The PTC is vital to the programs and activities at the school. Through fundraising activities, the PTC supports program development at Thomas Jefferson Elementary. The PTC coordinates volunteers and activities to support students and staff including: art docent, assemblies, Starstruck dance program, Positive Behavior Intervention Support program and after-school enrichment. The PTC also coordinates activities to bring the Thomas Jefferson community together including: Movie Nights, Harvest Festival, Dance-a-thon, Daughter Dance, Son's Night Out, Art to Remember and Field Day. Multiple opportunities to volunteer are advertised in our bi-weekly school blog, on the school marquee, through email and phone communication and through the PTC newsletter.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- · Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Do.L.	School			District			State		
Rate	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	2.9	1.2	1.2	3.0	2.7	3.2	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

The Roseville City School District has developed a Crisis Response Plan that outlines the procedures to be followed in the event of an emergency affecting a school site. The plan includes procedures for coordinating resources to respond to any emergency including natural disasters, environmental health issues, accidents, or intruders. The Thomas Jefferson staff has been assigned roles and trained to work efficiently with each other and with other public service officers to ensure the safety of students and staff. Thomas Jefferson Elementary conducts monthly emergency drills to practice procedures for evacuation and safety. The staff and students are updated on safety practices.

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2012-2013
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	3
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	100

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

		201	4-15			2015-16			2016-17			
Grade	Avg.	Num	ber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Avg. Number of Classes		sses	Avg.	Number of Classes		
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
К	18	2	3		19	8	3		21	7	24	
1	24		3		22		6		25		18	
2	30		2		26		6		26		12	
3	30		3		44	2	4	1	23		24	
4	29		3		31		4		33			6
5	29		3		55		2	5	28	6	12	6

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.5	N/A
Psychologist	.4	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	.2	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	N/A
Resource Specialist	1	N/A
Other	.7	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

		Average			
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary	
School Site	\$9,098	\$2,439	\$6,659	\$83,236	
District	N/A	N/A	\$6,509	\$80,553	
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	2.3	2.8	
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	\$78,363	
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-0.9	7.8	

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

The annual funding plan concentrates on providing students with materials, tools and experiences to enrich their education. Goals and progress are reviewed annually as a staff, to focus on our student needs when planning the budget. Currently this has been focused on providing technology access, supplemental curriculum materials and enrichment opportunities that align with the Common Core State Standards and the social emotional needs of our students.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Casher and Manimistrative Salaries (1.156a) 1641 2015					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,775	\$48,678			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$81,529	\$78,254			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$94,924	\$96,372			
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$110,722	\$122,364			
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$125,320	\$125,958			
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$126,758			
Superintendent Salary	\$197,499	\$212,818			
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	45%	38%			
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%			

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

^{*}One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)

In alignment with the Roseville City School District board goals, the Professional Development Advisory Committee (PDAC) plan was developed to create a comprehensive three-year professional development plan for the District. Training is provided to administrators and teachers that align with this plan during four professional development days. In addition, the school sites are provided three additional professional development days to focus on school site goals.

Other areas of professional development at Thomas Jefferson include:

The Thomas Jefferson staff have and will participate in ongoing professional learning focused on the new English Language Arts textbook adoption, data analysis, Professional Learning Communities and Positive Behavior Intervention and Support and instructional strategies that serve the needs of English Language Learners. The professional development has included understanding of best practices, the use of instructional and social emotional data to inform instruction and how to collaborate as a Professional Learning Community

The teachers and paraprofessionals at Thomas Jefferson engage in professional learning in a variety of ways, they attend conferences, professional development workshops, Professional Learning Community collaboration, individual coaching and support.