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(Beginning of Video Recording.)
MS. FONG: Good evening, everybody. It's 6:00. I'm going to call the Monday, October 16th, 2023 special meeting of the Roseville City School District Board of Education to order. The topic is a public hearing of the Roseville City School District Board of Education on the California Voting Rights Act.

We'll start with the Pledge of Allegiance.
Trustee Baquera, will you lead us in the
Pledge of Allegiance?
MR. BAQUERA: Please stand.
(Recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance)
MS. FONG: Item 3.1 is the agenda approval.
Is there a motion to approve the agenda?
MR. BAQUERA: So moved.
MS. CONSTANT: I -- I'll second.
MS. FONG: There's a motion by Trustee
Baquera and a second by Trustee Constant to approve the agenda. Any comments or discussion? All those in favor say, aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
MS. FONG: Aye. Opposed? Motion carries.
Yeah. Item 4 is the public hearing. It's a public hearing to gather pre-map input on trustee areas. I'm going to formally open the public hearing.

Page 3 And it looks like we have at least one public comment. Are there any other -- okay.

We have -- first, we have Johnny Knadler.
You have about three minutes. Okay. No problem.

MR. KNADLER: Hello. Okay. I am a parent (inaudible) want you to consider communities of interest. And community of -- communities of interest are groups of individuals who are likely to have similar legislative concerns and who would benefit from cohesive representation. So I'm here to ask that special education and special education students be considered a community of interest when looking at these maps.

Currently, special education students are among the worst performers in the school district. Even in the best middle school, only about 30 percent of the students are proficient at math and -- or English, and 20 percent at math. And this represents actually a drop in the last four years. Where my kids go to school, it's only 16 percent in English and 9 percent in math.

And as a community of interest, this is an opportunity to afford people with special education children, or an interest in special ed, to have some input in electing a school board member who will have that as one of their priorities. And so I'm asking that -- most of the consideration will be given to population and other demographics, but I would like to see the demographics include special education children who are enrolled in that district.

You know -- I know after COVID, it's all gone down. My kids have suffered through COVID and I've seen their education drop and I haven't seen any significant improvement. And I feel that if we had a better focus on special education, or someone to stand up for special education parents, then that would help all students. For example, you know, with dyslexia programs and things like that, and having some kind of specialist to say, hey, you know, when we consider this, we should have things for special education kids. And I think that's being ignored.

You know, primarily look at sports. At our school, virtually no special ed kids are in that. They just had a Halloween carnival. A handful of special education kids were there because they excluded most parents from there and adult support, so kids weren't comfortable.

And one last thing. One thing that does touch the district on money, special education kids

1 have among the highest percentage of chronic absenteeism. And some -- I mean, just to give you an idea: Chilton, 21 percent; Buljan, 39 percent; Cooley, 31 percent; Woodbridge has among the worst, 46 percent of their special education kids fall into the chronic absenteeism.

That represents money that the district is losing. And that is something where fiscally-minded -and I'm fiscally-minded, that could help improve the school district overall, and will provide a source of funds for the school to then use for all of its students.

Anyways. That is it. Thank you very much for your time.

MS. FONG: Thank you.
Okay, I apologize. I went a little bit out of order. Now we are going to go ahead and have our presentation from Redistricting Partners.

MR. GARCIA: Yeah.
MS. FONG: Superintendent Garcia?
MR. GARCIA: I'm pleased to introduce Paul and Liz from Redistricting Partners. Local firm out of the Sacramento area. We engaged them in a contract to assist us with this rather aggressive timeline. They've put an overview for us together, so this is the
first pre-map public hearing. I think their presentation is roughly 45 minutes long, and leaving some time for any questions and/or comments from the board.

Paul, welcome.
MS. FONG: Perfect. Welcome.
MR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much. Great be here -- great to be here today.

My name is Paul Mitchell. I am the owner of Redistricting Partners. Liz Stitt, who is here with me, is our chief operating officer.

Can I use the arrow?
And Liz is -- will be somebody else who will be coming up and presenting to you, and -- and we'll both be helping facilitate this process. We are doing this on a pretty, you know, expedited time frame, based on the California Voting Rights Act and what's called the Safe Harbor Provisions. And I'll go through that and be able to answer any questions you have, either about your specific redistricting, redistricting in general, the California Voting Rights Act. Any terms that you kind of get stuck on, I'm happy to talk about.

The things I'll be talking about is the
California Voting Rights Act, what is districting, what is the Voting Rights Act, the Federal Voting Rights Act

Page 7 and kind of how that differs, traditional redistricting principles, districting principles, and the mechanics of how the districting works, and then talk about your school district's population data as the census sees it. So it's different than, you know, how the true population data is, given the rate of change that you have in Roseville. And then talk about this hearing schedule.

So first off, the California Voting Rights
Act is not what might come to mind the first time you hear the words. It's not like the Federal Voting Rights Act, some broad act that covers a lot of different voting rights issues. The California Voting Rights Act is just one thing. It only applies to agencies that have at-large election systems. And all it does is says that if you have racially polarized voting in your area, meaning, and I could discuss it at length, like cohesive voting patterns among Latino and Asian and African American or other protected classes. If you have that pattern of voting and you're in an atlarge election system, you need to convert to districts.

Now, the -- I keep trying to do the arrow. The rules around the California Voting Rights Act have changed a lot in their interpretation and implementation over the last 20 years. This is an act from 2001. So we've had this thing in place for 23 years, and yet we didn't see, like, immediate sweeping changes of agencies from at-large to districts when it first took effect.

When it first took effect, people didn't really understand necessarily what agencies it would apply to. They didn't understand necessarily, like, say your city had a charter, would it apply to a city with a charter? Would it apply the -- to the city based -- or to the school district or a community college, based on this federal standard of, you know, if you can create majority minority districts you need to convert, or would it apply to any agency with no kind of, like, numeric threshold that you have to meet in order to be forced to convert?

There were also real challenges in
implementing the California Voting Rights Act
initially, because if you, as an agency, said, oh, you know, we want to convert. A court wasn't telling you, but you were just raising your hand and saying, yeah, we want to convert based on this law. You would literally have to pay for the election to have the voters affirm that switch to a districted system, which could cost agencies millions of dollars just to change
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1 their election system.

So in the last decade, there have been changes to the law. There have been court cases, most recently, the State Supreme Court in a case in Santa Monica, that have helped to define the terms of how we're going to do this and also make it easier for agencies to do that conversion.

So the way the law works, you can be sued to convert. And if you're sued, you can be sued for an unlimited amount of money. Santa Monica is probably in the 10 millions of dollars range. But if you convert quickly using the Safe Harbor Provisions, you're -anybody who were to come and sue you would be restricted to $\$ 30,000$, which is inflation adjusted. And you have to follow a strict process of -- with a number of hearings and a -- and, you know, a pretty quick timeline, and you can kind of use those provisions in the Safe Harbor Provisions.

Now, one of the first things I'm asked often is, well, if we go to districts, then do I only care about my district? Like, do I only care about my area? Does it change how we budget? You know, does my area get certain money and other areas get certain money? And all these other kinds of things that you might see.

The fact is, is that the districting affects
one thing. It affects, on election day, who's voting for the school board members and where the school board members have to live in order to be qualified as a candidate. That's it. This is a change in your election system. It doesn't have to be a change in your governance structure and how you operate. We see a lot of agencies that -- for just their own culture. You know, if you go to City of Sacramento, on the doors for each counsel member, it'll say the neighborhoods they represent. That's the way they choose to govern. Other cities, they have a city council or a school board or a community college, and yeah, they might be elected by a district and they might be geographically dispersed throughout the area as a body, but they all take in the interest of the whole body when they're voting on things and when they're -- when they're doing things. So that's just a long way of saying that this doesn't change how you govern, it changes how you're elected, and that's it. Now, the Federal Voting Rights Act, which, again, still in court all the time, we've had Supreme Court cases as recently as the last few months on the Federal Voting Rights Act. It affects redistricting in two real important ways.

One is it has this concept that if you can

1 create a district that's a majority of a minority population, that has that -- that racially polarized voting, then you have certain rules that are applied to how you draw districts. And the other is an area that has been made inactive but still is in the law, that has to do with the idea that in certain circumstances, the federal government would have to approve any election changes. But that part of the law is right now inactive. That's called Section 5. The California Voting Rights, again -- Act, again, is just narrowly focused to the one instance of at-large elections.

Now, we don't have to get into a lot of detail with this, but, you know, the Federal Voting Rights Act and Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act doesn't just say any 50 percent population has to be drawn in a certain way in a district. It requires preexisting conditions, three of them: that there's cohesive voting patterns, that there's an ability to create a district that's over 50 percent, and that there's block voting against the minority group.

I don't think we're going to -- we haven't looked at the data yet for your district because we're not supposed to. Right now, we are supposed to have conversations and -- and present to the public. But in a couple weeks when we start drawing districts, we'll
get to understand more about the layout. But I don't think that we'll be worrying about Federal Voting Rights Act issues here.

Now, there are some basic rules for redistricting, and this is in flux. A bill just signed by the governor would put school districts under the same rules as cities and counties in terms of how you do redistricting. We're in kind of an in-between period right now where that law hasn't taken effect. But as we work with agencies from community colleges to water districts to school districts, you know, we encourage agencies just to go ahead and use as much as possible those city and county kind of standards because it's kind of the -- you know, the highest standards you can reach in municipal redistricting. The rules for redistricting start with districts being equal size. Now, it's a funny issue to bring up here, because Roseville is changing so much, that we know something that's equal sized now is not going to be equal sized in two or three or five years. We worked on the redistricting for Roseville and had the same situation doing that city redistricting.

But cities need to be equal sized based on population. The population number we use is the 2020 census. If we were standing here in 2029, we'd still
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1 be using the 2020 census. If we were standing here in 2030, we'd still be using the 2020 census. Until the new census comes out in 2031, we're going to be using the 2020 census.

There's an ability to say we're going to use equal population, but have a little bit of a buffer. This isn't going to be like drawing congressional districts where it's a one person deviation. We're going to allow ourselves a 10 percent range, so the largest district is no more than 10 percent greater than the smallest district in population, and that's going to be considered equal. Equal enough. And that is a standard that's in the state law, and we can utilize that to, say, not have to just split a neighborhood for no reason. Or you can utilize that to say this side of the district is going to gain a lot of population soon so we'll make those districts a little underpopulated. And we'll make these districts a little overpopulated so as they grow, they kind of fill in a little bit to make the population more equal. But we'll decide as we see what districts look like, how we want to deal with that.

Districts need to be contiguous whole
pieces. When you have an area that is not contiguous, like Catalina Island or Treasure Island, then yeah, you
can, like, go and connect a non-contiguous piece. But when you are drawing an agency like this where you don't have any non-contiguous pieces, every district part is going to touch.

The ideas around touching have changed, believe it or not, and California has made stricter rules about what is contiguous. In some states, this might be considered contiguous. That purple district that is in three different parts; in California, that's not considered contiguous. And there's actually a lawsuit about this redistricting right now.

This is contiguous. This is Davis. And it's contiguous, but it's something that we point out because there is an idea of something being functionally contiguous. And somebody came forward in Davis and said, I want to do the five districts all as columns. And what it created was, and we understand this if you're driving out the 80, it -- it would have created districts where you had a district that starts on one side of the 80 and then goes to the other side of the 80. Where literally, you are in one house on one side, you'd have to cross a freeway and a railway to get to the other side of the district. So it'd be functionally contiguous, like, looking at a satellite photo, but not literally contiguous because you can't
get there from here.
So when we're drawing districts, we'll want to think about that, potentially. When we're drawing districts, if you were to, say, connect two districts at a point, and that point has a railway line and a freeway and you can't get there, then maybe that's not the right way to draw the district.

This was discussed by a member of the public earlier, and I think was put in -- in great terms. We're going to draw districts based on state law around communities of interest. And this is the idea about districts. They're not drawn to elect political parties. They're not drawn to elect a certain person. They're drawn to be a representation of a part of the community that's geographically natured.

Communities of interest are different based on agencies. It's very subjective. We have had redistrictings -- I did a redistricting in Solano County, where it was the walnut farmers and the almond farmers, right? Nobody is going to come up to the microphone tonight and talk about almond farmers and walnut farmers. We did a redistricting in Novato, where it was the elevation. People in higher elevation had a higher water rate than people in lower elevation, and so elevation was a community of interest. things that you might be looking at will be, you know, neighborhoods, and you might look at educational attainment data. You might be looking at, you know, where the student populations are and all these kinds of criteria.

You can look at Latinos, Asian, African Americans, you know, Armenians or Jewish communities, or Chaldeans or other populations that have an ethnic composition.

But this is an important fact. We can consider those issues and we'll look at those data points, but it cannot be the predominant criteria. Redistricting has this weird thing where on one hand, we can't draw districts based on race unless the Federal Voting Rights Act comes in and says, well, you have to not dilute this racial group. So we have kind of a little bit of a dance. Same thing with the State, California Voting Rights Act. You might see a couple areas where you say, hey, Paul, that sounds like a racially related consideration. And it can be, it just can't be the predominant factor.
One of the best examples of -- or
descriptions of this came from a law professor who said that race and redistricting is like your speedometer.

1 If I drove over here from Arden on the 80 and all I did was stare at my speedometer, I would have been in a crash, right? But at the same time, if I had driven over here and not paid any attention to my speedometer, I would have probably sped. So you want to be aware of it, but you can't let it be the only thing you look at, and it's also something you can't just never look at. So when we talk about communities of interest, this is actually a really interesting thing. And when you hear people talk, this is what you want to listen for. You want to listen for a community of interest is an area that has a shared culture, shared characteristic. It also has a geographic nature, some density and ability to map it. And then it has a relationship to the agency. So when we're talking about communities of interest when people come forward, that's really the things you want to listen to.

The example I give when I do city council redistricting is skateboarders. First time I ever did anything political, $I$ went and spoke at a city council about a skateboarding ordinance. And so skateboarders as a community of interest might not seem like something really special, but it was -- I could tell you where the people who skateboarded lived, you have a -- so there's a geographic nature. You have a community that has a kind of shared culture. And then you also have a city, this was Costa Mesa, that was trying to ban a skateboard park. So there's a policy action. And so that's what you want to look for.

I think when -- when the speaker came, when the member of the public came up, he talked about that shared culture very well. Talked about the relationship to the agency very well. I think the challenging part might be for us to determine if there's a way to identify that geographic nature and how to see if when we consider redistricting maps, if there's a way to determine an area that might have more or greater share of people with special education needs rather than just they're evenly distributed throughout the whole district. So that would be something we can talk about.

Districts should be easily identifiable. This is, kind of, the eyeball test. And when you see a redistricting, your redistricting should look kind of normal. This compactness, this last criteria is kind of the same thing. Think about redistricting as you want districts that are more squares and circles and clear than, like, redistricting that's, like, two octopuses hugging, right? That is what we're going for.

There are all kinds of mathematical criteria around compactness, but California kind of got rid of those. We don't do a bunch of math around determining compactness, although $I$ can talk the math anytime.

What we talk about is the idea that a district is compact if it avoids -- if it avoids -- you know, I'm sorry. The -- the language is such a word salad. A district is compact -- let me see if it's in the slide. A district is -- is compact if it --

How does it word it, Liz?
MS. STITT: If you don't bypass.
MR. MITCHELL: -- if you don't bypass nearby populations to go to far away populations. Such a weird way of putting it. But what that means is if we started on the left side of the dais and took the first two school board members and then avoided this nearby population, then went over here to the member of the public, that, by California law, is not considered a compact district because it avoids nearby population to go get a far away population.

So we'll do that when we're -- we'll look at that when we're drawing districts. We'll look at districts and we can talk about how compact they are based on that criteria.

This is an example of a city that has
districts that are not compact. That blue district there is so not compact, that it really doesn't even -it's not even contiguous. It kind of doesn't even touch itself along the way.

It won't shock anybody, probably, but right up here, one, two -- this, where my shaky mouse is, all four council members live right there. And so they artfully drew the district so that it would not -- it would allow all the council members to keep their seats. That's what the plan looked like in the end. That's the final city council redistricting that we did to fix that.

So I'm going to get into a little bit of the mechanics. Does anybody have any questions so far?

So it'd be great if we could just draw districts based on, like, some major streets and make it all look pretty and, you know, not worry about them all be equally sized and just make districts that make sense to us based on how we understand the community we live in. But we can't. We have to use the census data in order to draw districts.

And again, if I'm just, like, talking to somebody, a friend, and I start talking about census data, most people think of census data as like this monolithic thing. Census data comes out every decade
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1 and it's this one thing and it's -- that's all it is. When we get into actual work, it's actually a lot more than that. And census data starts with a geographic data set.

The first thing in the census data is the census blocks, block groups, and census tracts. These are perfectly nested units. Somebody from the census 50 years ago, 40 years ago, I don't know, came in and started drawing little shapes and says, okay, this is going to be a census block, and this is going to be a census block, and this little cul-de-sac, and then this part of this is going to be a census block, and they drew squiggly little areas all around the state. And then they came in after that, they combined census blocks to create block groups. They came in after that combined block groups to create census tracts. And those shapes are like little honeycombs, and the data is what goes into the honeycombs when we get the census data.

And when we look at the census data for your area, you'll see that we have this cumulative 110,000 people, based on the most recent census. But all those 110,000 people are placed in each of the little census blocks. All those blocks are in the block groups and the tracts. And we have to use those geographies to
draw your districts.
The data in the census in this top table is from that decennial census, that once every 10 year census. The data in the bottom table looks at your citizen voting age population. And as you might remember from the political fights a few years ago, the census doesn't ask if you're a citizen or not. But the American Community Survey, which is a survey of three-and-a-half percent of the nation's population every year, that one does ask about citizenship. It asks your citizenship, it asks how many cars you have, it asks how many bathrooms you have, it asks how long your commute is, how many, you know, years of education you have, your income. And that data table at the bottom is the data that we use for any kind of Voting Rights Act consideration.

It's basically like what percentage of the eligible voters in the district are Latino: 12.7 percent. But if you were to say, Paul, what percentage of all residents are Latino? That's in the top table at 16.8. So when we're talking about total population, we'll talk about that in the top table. When we're talking about citizen voting age population, that'll be in the bottom table.

Our hearing process is starting with two
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hearings that are back to back, just like this one. In fact, we might just do the same exact presentation both times. Maybe it'll be Liz next time. And the purpose of this is to not only bring all of you up to speed, but any members of the public, to bring them up to speed. And in this period, we can't do any mapping yet. So you are more than welcome, as -- as board members, or members of the public are more than welcome to come up and say, I live on Main Street and this -this area should be drawn in with that area. They can have all kinds of discussions about it. It's just that we can't or you can't start actually mapping.

After the second hearing, we can start mapping based on any of your input, any public input. And we will provide maps as draft maps for you to consider.

The game isn't like we come here and we say we're going to try to draw the perfect map. What we want to draw is we'll draw some maps that lay out different options so that you get kind of a full range of what things could look like. And it's entirely your job to then take those and start to shape them into the maps that you want to adopt.

We will come forward with those maps. Those maps will be put on the website and be public seven

1 days in advance of your meeting. That way, nobody comes in here and gets surprised. And that's the way the state law works, by the way, that every time you're considering a map, it needs to be public seven days beforehand.

So we can't be having a meeting and somebody says, oh, I was just over at Starbucks and I came up with this really cool map $I$ want to talk about.

It's like, great. Your really cool map can be brought up at the next meeting after it's been posted on the website for seven days.

The -- the fourth hearing is what I consider the most important hearing. That's because you've had the public outreach, you've had some mapping options, and at that fourth hearing, you're trying to narrow it down to one final map. That one final map will then be posted on the website for seven days and will be voted on at the fifth hearing.

If you have any changes to that fifth -- to that meeting -- to that map in the fifth hearing, then we would have to bring those up at a future meeting. We'd have to say, okay, this meeting, we're just going to end and we're going to bring up at our next meeting a map for a full vote and repost it to the website.

The process after that is that the county
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office of education will be approving this. They'll be both approving it based on the process you conducted and also the map. And then those maps will go to the county registrar. We work on that process with the county registrar, and they would be in place for the 2024 elections.

MR. GARCIA: Paul, I have a question. MR. MITCHELL: Yeah.

MR. GARCIA: Point of clarification. For meeting number 5 --

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah.
MR. GARCIA: Perfect. Slide. December
11th. So if I'm understanding correctly, if there is any changes to the map made at that meeting, we'd have to come back, post that map for seven days, and then come back and have another --

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah.
MR. GARCIA: -- meeting before?
MR. MITCHELL: Yeah. So let's say the November 27 th date, when we are done with that meeting, you can say, hey, Redistricting Partners, I want to change -- make this one change, I want to make that one change. We can go back and make those changes and get them to your staff so that that map can be posted by December 4th. be the map you're voting on December 11th. And if you have any more changes that you need to make, or if they don't -- you can't get a vote on that and you have to go back to the drawing board, then you have to have another hearing. You can't just do it that night.

MR. GARCIA: The reason I bring the date up is that the county committee is meeting on Monday, December 18th to do our final map and Western Placer Unified's final map. A subtle a way of saying we need to have a decision on the 11th that would -- would be adopted.

MS. KRAFKA: So what happens if that doesn't happen, though? I mean, what happens if we miss that date?

MR. GARCIA: If we miss that date, I would be contacting the county superintendent and asking them to get in contact with the county committee to see if they could do it after the winter break, but before the 90-day Safe Harbor timeline, which is about two weeks from January -- it's -- by the time we get back from spring break, it's probably less than one week from the time we get back from -- from winter break.

MS. KRAFKA: So we'd be asking them to do a special meeting, essentially?

MR. GARCIA: Correct.
MS. KRAFKA: Okay.
MR. GARCIA: Yeah. And we'd have to do a special meeting, which would need to be probably on the 18th then ourselves, right? Okay.

MR. ZACHRESON: I -- I have a question on this as well.

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah.
MR. ZACHRESON: Does -- so on that -- that 27th -- well, we have to vote for something that -that's been out for seven days on December 11th. Can it -- can we have two maps that we're basically picking from on that last day that been out for --

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, I didn't word it that way, but technically, you could have, like, two maps that are going for -- generally, it's advised to either have one map or a very limited number of maps, because you don't want to have a situation like -- there was one city that tried to pull a little trick where they didn't decide a final map, and at the last hearing, somebody went into the website and said, look at this map that was just posted. Nobody has noticed this map that it was posted. Let's adopt that map and they tried to pass it.

And so it's about -- it -- the decision on
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the 27 th is what is the best way to communicate to the members of the public what is being considered, and generally, that is seen as being one map. If there is an inability to get to one map finalized on that last meeting and you need to move forward two, there's nothing that would prohibit that in the law. It's just kind of in the best interest of you and the public to make sure that that's really clear.

MS. KRAFKA: But maybe where you're going with that, if there's two, there's a backup plan. If that one map doesn't get voted on, there's no other option except for -- to miss the date.

MR. ZACHRESON: Exactly. That's -- that's -- if there's not --

MS. KRAFKA: Yeah.
MR. ZACHRESON: -- consensus or maybe there's a -- you know, it's very contentious and it could be a -- a 3-2 vote or something --

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, we -- and we can just -- I'm -- I'm sure by the 27th, we'll know a lot --

MR. ZACHRESON: Yeah, we'll know.
MR. MITCHELL: -- more about that. Yeah.
MS . KRAFKA: Yeah.
MR. MITCHELL: Yeah.
MR. ZACHRESON: Yeah.

Page 29

MS. FONG: Any other questions? So -MR. MITCHELL: One thing I didn't mention -MS. FONG: I was going to ask about that.

Okay.
MR. MITCHELL: -- a little pretty map. MS. FONG: Yeah.

MR. MITCHELL: We love maps. This is what I affectionately call a place mat. And I call it a place mat because my daughter, who's now -- who's now 14, was, you know, five in the last redistricting, or, you know, something like that. And we used to print these out and we put them on laminated pieces of paper so you could draw with a dry erase marker, and she would use them as place mats. And so we continue calling it a place mat.

And what it does is it takes that census data and it puts it into areas that are combinations of census blocks. So it's not as detailed as all the little census blocks that have two and four people, it's kind of put into little groups or clusters. And then we put rounded numbers in there in order to allow it -- the member of the public to more easily draw their own districts, and then add them up and place the count of the districts in -- onto there.

And while all they have to do, really, is
take a picture with their cell phone, e-mail it back to the district, and we will then take that data and draw it in the mapping software. So when you guys see it, you'll see the map that they drew, but you'll also see a detailed seven-page report on that map with all the individual districts that's shown and all their demographics shown.

We have it as this base version, and then we have on the other side, the same map where the coloring is based on the neighborhoods. And you can see we tried to follow the neighborhoods as much as possible in drawing these shapes as well, because for a lot of people, the neighborhoods is, kind of, what they think of as a potential community of interest. In fact, when we were talking with a member of the public, he was able to identify where he lived based on what the neighborhoods were called.

MS. CONSTANT: So can I ask -- so if they're uploading these to you and you're redrawing -- yeah, to us and then they give them to you to --

MR. MITCHELL: Uh-huh.
MS. CONSTANT: -- make prettier, you're not really changing those lines. You're just letting us know if there's any issues with that map?

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah. What we're going to do is we'll draw it in the same program that we use to draw this map.

MS. CONSTANT: Uh-huh.
MR. MITCHELL: And it'll be all the shaded areas. And then we'll go ahead and -- and provide that to you as our interpretation of what they drew. But since they -- all these lines are census lines, it's really easy for us to follow those lines.

MS. CONSTANT: Sure.
MR. MITCHELL: If somebody does draw a district where they try to break those lines and do their own interpretive thing, then we might have to put a little notation that it does the best we can to draw, based on what they submitted. But most of the time, it can be done real easy.

Another thing, just in case some any member of the public is watching or if you end up ask -having this question, they don't have to draw all five districts. If somebody wants to submit one district that's just, this is my district, I just want to draw this one, fine. That's a great submission. It helps us understand it. But when people do draw five districts, they pretty quickly learn how challenging this can be. Because if somebody came over here in Diamond Oaks and said, well, I want my district right
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here, and they landlocked, you know, 30,000 population on this -- on the eastern side of it, it would essentially make it impossible to draw the other districts.

We have 110,000 people, so roughly 22,000 per district, and doing this exercise of drawing all five districts really does kind of, like, help people form in their brain, like, oh, the thing I was thinking I really wanted doesn't make sense, you know? So it's a great exercise.

MS. FONG: So --
MS. CONSTANT: Okay. So --
MS. FONG: Oh, go ahead.
MS. CONSTANT: No, go ahead.
MS. FONG: So I understand how you -- how you drew it by communities of interest based on neighborhood associations, but does it have to look like this for the public? Could it be -- instead of neighborhood districts, could it be our own individual elementary school districts?

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah. I mean, we could definitely do a version of this where we overlaid the elementary school districts as well.

MS. FONG: I -- I mean, I would have an interest in that. we've done other things for school districts like -we're just finishing up in Belmont, and we did do some work looking at their student populations and how they, you know, lay it out. We can also place campuses or campus facilities on mapping and show that to you as well. So we can do other things to help illustrate the elements that you might want to look at.

MS. FONG: And then the individual census tracts that have zeros in them --

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah.
MS. FONG: -- so obviously, they don't have any people living there now, but over time -- so in 2030, will those -- every -- all the other -- all the other census tracts will remain how they are and they'll just -- the numbers may increase or decrease. And then the ones that are zero, those will just slowly get redrawn within the -- the existing boundaries that they have, or will -- how will that work?

MR. MITCHELL: What will the census do? Yeah, when the -- in a lot of cases, a big open field will be drawn by the census as one big census block. And then you know, 500 people move in there and the census will come through, in a process they do every decade, to redraw all those boundaries and to add new
census blocks. And there's no saying how they're going to do that, but it will mean that when you draw districts in 2031, you're going to have a lot more data up in those areas where new -- where people have moved in.

But for the purposes of the districts you'll be drawing, it's not -- you're not able to consider future population. You have to only base it on the census population.

MS. FONG: Right. But --
MS. CONSTANT: So --
MS. FONG: Go ahead.
MS. CONSTANT: Sorry. But, like, so I live out there, a lot of us do, and I know it's -obviously, since that last census, there's a ton of houses there. So with that percentage, like that 10 percent, are we allowed to then consider that, you know --

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah.
MS. CONSTANT: -- so that it's not such a drastic boundary changes for the next --

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah.
MS. CONSTANT: -- drawing redistricting?
MR. MITCHELL: Yeah, I'll -- there -- I'll give -- here's one little perspective on this. So Davis had, not to the same extreme, but had some of the same issues that you have, in that we were doing their redistricting and there was a big development right up here, and this area up here, north of -- North Davis was all being developed. And they were like, we can drive you there right now. There's developments happening. There's thousands of people moving in there. At the same time, though, there was an increased density here in South Davis. They never saw it because it was just more people living in the same apartments. It was, like, the average apartment was going from, you know, 2.3 people per apartment to 3.1 people per apartment, and it was kind of invisible population growth.

And so yours is going to be much more extreme than Davis's, but that's one reason why we're not allowed to, kind of, adjust for that. It's because it is kind of -- it tends to favor the development we see rather than the population growth we don't see.

And you will be able to use that 10 percent deviation. That potentially when we end up with these final plans, that the districts where you have this zero population, this -- these areas here to the north and west, those areas, you could say, well, we're going to make those districts instead of being 23,000, we're
going to have those be 21,000 , and the 21,000 population district is going to be here, and the 23,000 population district is over there.

But it's not something you want to set out right now in stone, because you might go through the process and it's like, wait, if we do that, we end up splitting these neighborhoods. And so it doesn't make sense. Like, the trade-off isn't worth it. So it's something to know that's in our back pocket that we can use, but we don't want to start out immediately saying that that's how we have to do it. Yeah.

MS. CONSTANT: I'm sorry. I just want to make sure that's, like, an option for us since -MR. MITCHELL: Oh, of course. Of course. Within the 10 percent range, you can.

MS. CONSTANT: Okay.
MR. MITCHELL: Just can't exceed the 10
percent range.
MS. FONG: So another thing that -- that might be helpful to make this map just more userfriendly is to have the main roads indicated on there. I don't know if that's possible. I mean, I'm hoping that's possible, because just to give people a point of reference where the main roads are, where, you know, Foothill, Blue Oaks, Fiddyment, the -- some of our
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bigger main roads, Pleasant Grove, and then also where the individual schools are located. If that's -- is -I don't know if that would -- it's going to be too complicated, but just -- I think --

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah.
MS. FONG: -- people just need a -- a frame of reference.

I mean, I -- I mean, you -- you can look at the map and kind of generally know where you live, but then you kind of -- it kind of -- I kind of get a little disoriented when you get to -- when it's such -such big -- the scale.

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah. I think this will be posted on the website tonight, but we can update it with maybe a few major roads.

With these maps, it's always -- one of these challenges with this is that you want simplicity in a redistricting map to see the area, but you also have people who say, like, but $I$ want to know where I live.

MS. FONG: Right.
MR. MITCHELL: And -- but just so you know, when we do provide you the actual draft maps, you'll get a PDF that looks like this with all the individual pages. You'll also get a web map that you can type in any address and it'll just bring it up. So there will
be a web map component and a printed map component to try to reach both of those. So somebody says, what street is that? Well, on the web map, you can zoom in and see all the streets and whatever, or search for an address. But on the PDF, you're going to be a little bit more limited. So we'll get that balance as we start put -- seeing actual draft maps, too. MR. BAQUERA: Just to concur, and along with the point that Trustee Fong made, is I think seeing our schools on here would be helpful and seeing those schools' boundaries would be really helpful. The major crossroads, added bonus.

But I think, you know, we talked about communities of interest. Clearly, a school is a community of interest --

MR. MITCHELL: Uh-huh. MR. BAQUERA: -- so that would be interesting and useful information. Just echoing comments there.

MR. MITCHELL: Great.
MR. ZACHRESON: I have a -- a question and just adding to that. Yeah, knowing the -- you know, school boundaries, $I$ think, are important as well. When we get the maps on third meeting -MR. MITCHELL: Uh-huh.

MR. ZACHRESON: Again, just the -- the clarity or the detail that we can see on the digital version. We'll be able to see those tracts, the small -- small groups of homes in the digital version; is that what you're saying?

MR. MITCHELL: And it'll be using census blocks to draw those --

MR. ZACHRESON: Okay.
MR. MITCHELL: -- but it'll be aggregated, all those census blocks, to be one area.

MR. ZACHRESON: Okay.
MR. MITCHELL: The individual little blocks aren't as useful in that context, but it'll sum up all that population in that area. And if you do see, like, a squiggly little arm that goes out, we can have a conversation about is that a squiggly little arm because somebody did something weird, or is that a squiggly little arm because that census block is just shaped really strangely? You know?

MR. ZACHRESON: Yeah. And that -- that's why I asked that question, because if we're looking and we have to make these tweaks or we don't quite like something, it -- do we know that if we cut something off, that we're actually breaking into a census tract or not? you're using this, you're not cutting any blocks for sure. And if and when at that, like, say, third or fourth hearing, we want to kind of open up the mapping software, that's also something that could be a possibility. Especially at that fourth hearing, if -we can always kind of be prepared for that if necessary. If there's, you know, a contentious piece of the map and we want to actually, like, dive in and start picking census blocks, we can do that process, if necessary, as we get towards the end.

MR. ZACHRESON: And -- and to that point, you know, another way to look at it is say we're looking at school boundaries, is that potentially our boundaries are crossing through all these different census tracts.

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah. The -- we'll -- we'll talk about the school boundaries and we can look and see, and it's -- if it -- counties generally use parcels as a primary area -- way to draw lines. Census blocks often divide parcels. So census blocks might go right through front lawns, where parcels aren't going to ever split a front lawn. It's the door, actually. The door of the house is the address for the census. And so you might have a parcel that cuts across
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somebody's driveway, and that house isn't actually in that block. But a parcel line wouldn't and another school -- other boundaries wouldn't, but that's just how the census works.

MR. ZACHRESON: Interesting. Okay. Thank you.

MR. MITCHELL: Yeah.
MS. FONG: Any other comments or questions?
MR. MITCHELL: Thank you very much.
MS. FONG: Okay. Thank you. Appreciate it.
MR. MITCHELL: Have a great evening.
MS. FONG: So seeing as that we had our presentation, we had a public hearing. I'm going to close the public hearing. Are there any -- let's do comments from the superintendent board members.

Superintendent Garcia?
MR. GARCIA: No, I just want to thank Paul and Liz for being here, and look forward to a smooth process as we are forced to redistrict our wonderful district.

MS. FONG: Trustee Krafka?
MS. KRAFKA: No comments. Thank you.
MS. FONG: Trustee Zachreson?
MR. ZACHRESON: No comments either.
MS. FONG: Trustee Constant?

MS. CONSTANT: No comments. Thank you.
MS. FONG: Trustee Baquera?
MR. BAQUERA: Appreciate everybody's work. It -- it's going to be a fun and exciting process to go through. Look forward to working with you -- you all to find a great outcome for the future of RCSD.

MS. FONG: And I -- I do hope we have some additional public engagement on this. I think it's a really important, big development in our district, and I hope there is a lot of input for -- in -- during the process. So whatever we can do to increase that would be much appreciated. at 6:46 p.m.
(End of Video Recording)
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```
With that, \(I\) am going to adjourn the meeting
    With that, I am going to adjourn the meeting
```

        at 6:46 p.m.
            (End of Video Recording)
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| demographics | 2:19 | districts | 15:7,10 |
| 4:4,5 30:7 |  | 7:22 8:4, | 16:15 |
| density | discussions | 13 9:20 | 20:15, 21 |
| 17:14 35:9 | 23:11 | 11:4,25 | 22:1 |
|  | disoriented | 12:6,11,17 | 23:18,19 |
| descriptions | 37:11 | 13:8,17, | 29:13,22 |
| 16:24 | dispersed | 18,21,23 | 30:2 31:1, |
| detail 11:13 | 10:14 | 14:16,19 | 2,10,13, |
| 39:2 |  | 15:2,4,10, | 18, 20, 22 |
| detailed | distributed | 12 16:15 | $32: 3$ 34:2 |
| 29:18 30:5 | 18:1 | 18:17,22 | 39:7 40:20 |
|  | district | 19:22, 23 | drawing |
| determine | $2: 4,63: 16$ | 20:1,16, | 11:25 13:7 |
| 18:9,12 | 4:6,25 | 18,21 22:1 | 14:2 15:2, |
| determining | 5:7,10 | 29:23, 24 | 3 19:22 |
| 19:3 | 8:11 9:21 | 30:6 | 21:9 26:5 |
| developed | 10:13 | 31:19,23 | 30:12 32:6 |
| 35:5 | 11:1,16, | 32:4,7,19, | 34:7,23 |
|  | 19,22 | 20,23 33:2 | drawn 11:16 |
| development | 13:10,11, | 34:3, 6 | $15: 12,13$ |
| 35:3,18 | 16 14:3,8, | 35:22,25 | 15:12,13, |
| 42:9 | 19,23 15:7 |  | 14 23:10 |
| developments | 16:1 18:15 | dive 40 | 33:22 |
| 35: 6 | 19:5,8,9, | divide 40:21 | drew 20:8 |
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| $21: 13$ 30:4 | 4:5, 9, 11, | 24:23 | $4: 21$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $31: 632: 16$ | 12,16,21, | $31: 17$ | exercise |
| drive 35:6 | 25 5:5 | $35: 2136: 6$ | $32: 6,10$ |
|  | 18:13 | 40:11 |  |
| driven 17:3 | $22: 13$ 25:1 | 42:15 | existing |
| driveway |  |  | 11:17 |
| $41: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { educational } \\ & 16: 3 \end{aligned}$ | engaged 5:23 | $33: 18$ |
| driving | effect 8:5,6 | $42: 8$ | expedited 6:16 |
|  | 12:9 | English | extreme |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { drop } 3: 20 \\ & 4: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { elect } 15: 12 \text {, } \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $3: 19,21$ enrolled 4:6 | $35: 1,16$ |
| drove 17:1 | elected | equal 12:17, | $18: 18$ |
| dry 29:13 | 10:13,19 | $19,20,23$ |  |
| dyslexia | electing 4:1 | 13:6,12,20 | F |
| 4:13 | election | equally |  |
|  | $7: 15,21$ | 20:18 |  |
| E | 8:23 9:1 | erase 29:13 |  |
|  | 10:1, 5 |  | facilities |
| e-mail 30:1 | 11:8 | essentially | $33: 6$ |
| earlier 15:9 | elections | 26:25 32:3 | fact 9:25 |
| easier 9:6 | 11:11 $25: 6$ | ethnic 16:9 | 16:11 23:2 |
| easily 18:17 | elementary | evening 2:2 | 30:14 |
| 29:22 | $32: 20,23$ | 41:11 | factor 16:22 |
| eastern 32:2 | elements | evenly 18:14 | fall 5:5 |
| easy 31:8, | $33: 8$ | everybody's | farmers |
| 15 | elevation | 42:3 | 15:19,20, |
| echoing | $15: 23,24$, | exact 23:2 | 21,22 |
| 38:18 | 25 | examples | favor 2:20 |
| ed 3:25 | eligible | 16:23 | $35: 18$ |
| $4: 19$ | 22:18 | exceed 36:17 | federal 6:25 |
| education | encourage | exciting | $7: 118: 12$ |
| $2: 5,7$ | 12:12 | $42: 4$ | 10:20,23 |
| $3: 12,15,24$ | end 20:10 | excluded | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 7,13 \\ & 12: 2 \quad 16: 16 \end{aligned}$ |


| feel 4:10 | 13,15,24 | front 40:22, | geographies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiddyment | 33:9,12 | 23 | 21:25 |
| 36:25 | 34:10,12 | full 23:20 | give 5:2 |
| field 33.21 | 36:19 | 24:24 | 17:18 |
| field 33:21 | 37:6,20 | fun 42:4 | 30:20 |
| fights 22:6 | 38:9 41:8, |  | 34:25 |
| fill 13:19 | 10,12,21, | $14: 15,24$ | 36:23 |
|  | 23,25 |  |  |
| final 20 | 42:2,7 |  | Good 2:2 |
| 24:16 | Foothill | funds 5:11 | govern |
| 26:9,10 | Foothill $36: 25$ | funny 12:17 | 10:11,19 |
| 27:20 | 36.25 | future 24:21 |  |
| 35:22 | forced 8:16 | $34: 8$ 42:6 | governance |
| finalized 41.1) |  |  |  |
| 28:4 | foregoing | G | government |
| find 42:6 | 43:5 |  |  |
| fine 31:21 | form 32:8 | gain 13:1 | governor |
|  | formally | game 23:17 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { finishi } \\ 33: 3 \end{gathered}$ | 2:25 | Garcia 5:19, | $\begin{array}{rr} \text { great } & 6: 7,8 \\ 15: 9 & 20: 15 \end{array}$ |
| firm 5:22 | forward | 20,21 | 24:9 31:21 |
| fiscally- | 14:15 | 18 26:7,16 | 32:10 |
| minded 5:8,9 | $23: 2428$ | 27:1,3 | 38:20 |
| fix 20:12 | 41:18 $42: 5$ | 41:16,17 | 41:11 42:6 |
| flux | fourth | gather 2:24 | greater |
|  | 24:12,15 | general 6:21 | $13: 10$ $18: 13$ |
| focused | 40:4, 6 | generally | group 11:20 |
| focused | frame 6:16 | 27:16 28:3 |  |
|  | $37: 6$ | $37: 9$ 40:19 | 16:17 |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { follow } & 9: 15 \\ 30: 11 & 31: 8 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { geographic } \\ & 17: 13,25 \\ & 18: 10 \quad 21: 3 \end{aligned}$ | groups 3:9 |
|  | freeway $14: 22 \quad 15: 6$ |  | 21:6,15, |
| Fong 2:2, |  |  | 16,24 |
| 13,17,22 | friend 20:2 |  | 29:20 39:4 |
| 5:15, 20 | friendly | geographically | Grove 37:1 |
| 6:6 29:1, | 36:21 | 10:14 | grow 13:19 |
| 3,6 32:11, |  | 15:15 | grow 13:19 |


| growth | 36:20 | 30:16 | increased |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35:14,19 | 38:10,11 | illustrate | 35:9 |
| guys $30: 3$ | helping 6:15 | 33:7 | individual |
|  | helps 31:21 | immediately | 30:6 32:19 |
| H |  | imediately | 33:9 37:2, |
|  | hey 4:15 |  | 23 39:12 |
| Halloween | 16:20 | implementation |  |
| 4:20 | 25:21 | 8:1 | $3: 9$ |
| hand 8:21 | higher | implementing |  |
|  | 15:23, 24 | 8:18 | inflation $9: 14$ |
| handful 4:20 | highest 5:1 | important |  |
|  | 12:14 | 10:24 |  |
| happen 26:14 | homes 39:4 | 16:11 | 38:18 |
| happening |  | 24:13 | initially |
| 35:7 | honeycombs | 38:23 42:9 | 8:19 |
| happy 6:22 | 21:17,18 | impossible | input 2:24 |
| Harbor 6: | hope 42:7, | 32:3 | 4:1 23:14 |
| 9:12,18 | 10 | improve 5:9 | 42:10 |
| 26:20 | hoping 36:22 | improvement | instance |
| hear | house 14:21 | 4:10 | 11:11 |
| 17:10 | 40:24 41:1 | in-between | interest |
| hearing 2.6, | houses 34:16 | 12:8 | 3:8,13,23, |
| 23,24,25 | hugging |  | 25 10:15 |
| 6:1 7:7 | 18:24 | inability | 15:11,16, |
| 22:25 |  | 28:4 | 25 17:9, |
| 23:13 | I | inactive | 12,16,22 |
| 24:12,13, | I | 11:5,9 | 28:7 30:14 |
| 15,18,20 | idea 5:3 | inaudible | 32:16,25 |
| 26:6 27:20 | 11:6 14:14 | 3:7 | 38:14,15 |
| 40:4,6 | 15:11 19:5 | include 4:5 | interesting |
| 41:13,14 | ideas 14:5 | include 4:5 | 17:9 38:18 |
| hearings | identifiable | income 22:14 | 41:5 |
| 9:16 23:1 | $18: 17$ | increase | interpretation |
| helped 9:5 |  | 33:16 | 7:25 31:6 |
|  | identify | 42:11 |  |
| helpful | 18:10 |  | interpretive |
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| 31:12 | 19,20 19:2 | Latinos 16:7 | literally |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| introduce | 20:3 22:15 | law 8:22 | 8:23 |
| 5:21 | 23:20 28:7 | 9:3,8 | 14:21,25 |
|  | 29:20 | 11:5,8 | live 10:3 |
| invisible | 30:13 32:7 | 11:5, 13.13 | $20: 7,20$ |
| 35:13 | 35:13,17, | $12: 913: 13$ $15: 10$ | $20: 7,20$ $23: 934: 13$ |
| Island 13:25 | 18 37:9,10 | 16:24 | 37:9,19 |
| issue 12:17 | 40:4,7 | 19:18 24:3 | lived 17:24 |
| issues 7:13 | kinds 9:24 | 28:6 | 30:16 |
| 12:3 16:12 | 16:5 19:1 | lawn 40:23 | 33:13 |
| 30:24 35:2 |  | lawns 40:22 | 35:10 |
| Item 2:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Knadler } 3: 3, \\ & 6 \end{aligned}$ | lawsuit | Liz 5:22 |
| 23 |  | 14:11 | 6:10,13 |
|  | knowing |  | 19:10 23:3 |
| J | 38:22 | $33: 5$ | 41:18 |
| January | Krafka | 1 | Local 5:22 |
| 26:21 | 27:2 28:9, | lead 2:9 | located 37:2 |
| 43:11 | 15,23 | learn 31.23 | long 6:2 |
| Jewish 16:8 | 41:21,22 | learn 31. | 10:18 |
| job 23:22 |  | leaving 6:2 | 22:12 |
| Johnny 3:3 | L | left 19:15 | looked 11:22 |
|  | laminate | legislative | 20:10 |
| K | 29:12 | 3:10 | losing 5:8 |
| kids 3:20 | landlocked | length 7:18 | lot 7:12,25 |
| 4:8,16,19, | 32:1 | letting | 10:7 11:12 |
| 21,22,25 | languag | 30:23 | 13:16 21:2 |
| 5:5 | 19:7 | limited | 28:20 |
|  | 19.7 | 27.17 38.6 | 30:12 |
| kind 4:14 | large 7:21 | 27.17 38.6 | 33:21 |
| 6:22 7:1 | largest | lines 30:23 | 34:3,14 |
| 8:15 9:17 | $13: 10$ | 31:7,8,11 | 42:10 |
| 12:8,13,14 |  | 40:20 |  |
| 13:19 | Latino 7:18 |  | love 29:7 |
| 16:17 | 22:18, 20 | $17 \cdot 11$ | lower 15:24 |
| 18:1,18, |  | 17.11,17 |  |
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|  | 37:9,18,24 | 14,18,20 | 17,19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | 38:1, 3 | 26:8,25 | 27:8,14 |
| made 11:5 | 40:9 | 27:4 28:5 | 28:19,22, |
| 14:6 25:14 | mapping | 38:24 | 24 29:2,5, |
| 38:9 | 23:6,12,14 | 42:13 | 7 30:21,25 |
|  | 24:14 30:3 | member 4:1 | 31:4,10 |
| main 23:9 | 33:6 40:4 | 10:9 15:8 | 32:21 |
| 36:21,24 |  | 18:6 19:17 | 33:1,11,20 |
| 37:1 | maps 3:14 | $18: 62^{19: 17}$ 29:22 | 34:19,22, |
| major 20:16 | 18:11 | $29: 22$ $30: 15$ | 24 36:14, |
| 37:15 | 23:15,19, | 31:16 | 17 37:5, |
| 38:11 | 23,24,25 | 31.16 | 13,21 |
| 38.11 | 25:3 | members 2:21 | 38:16, 20, |
| majority | 27:12,15, | 10:2, 3 | 25 39:6,9, |
| 8:13 11:1 | 17 29:7 | 19:16 | 12 40:1,17 |
| make 9:6 | $37: 16,22$ | 20:7,9 | 41:7, 9,11 |
| 13:17,18, | 38:7,24 | 23:5,8 |  |
| 20 20:16, | marker 29:13 | 28:2 41:15 | $26: 8$ |
| 18 25:22, |  | mention 29:2 |  |
| 23 26:3 | mat $29: 8,9$, |  | money 4:25 |
| 28:8 30:22 | 15 | Mesa 18:2 | 5:7 9:10, |
| 32:3, 9 | math 3:18, | microphone | 23 |
| 35:25 | 19,22 | 15:21 | Monica 9:5, |
| 36:7,13,20 | 19:3,4 | middle 3:17 | 10 |
| 39:22 | mathematical | millions | monolithic |
| map 17:14 | 19:1 | 8:25 9:11 | 20:25 |
| 23:18 | mats 29:14 | mind 7:10 | months 10:22 |
| 24:4,8,9, | meaning | mind 7.10 |  |
| 16,20,24 | meaning | minority | motion 2:14, |
| 25:3,14, | means 19:14 | 8:13 11:1, | 17,22 |
| 15,24 | mechanics | 20 | mouse 20:6 |
| 26:1,2,9, | 7:2 20:14 | minutes 3:4 |  |
| 10 27:17, |  | 6:2 | move 28:5 $33: 23$ |
| 20,22,23 | meet 8:15 | 6. 2 | 33:23 |
| 28:3,4,11 | meeting 2:4 | Mitchell | moved 2:15 |
| 29:5 30:4, | 24:1, 6, 10, | 6:7,9 | 34:4 |
| 5,9,24 | 20,21,22, | 19:12 |  |
| 31:2 36:20 | 23 25:10, | 25:8,11, | mov |
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| 29:19 | photo 14:25 | 15:12 | predominant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30:13 | picking | 17:20 22:6 | 16:13, 22 |
| 31:22 | 27:12 | population | prepared |
| 32:5,7 | 40:10 | 4:4 7:4,6 | 40:7 |
| 33:13,23 |  | 11:2,15 |  |
| 34:4 35:7, | picture 30:1 | 12:24 | present |
| 10,12,13 | piece 14:1 | 13:6,11, | 11:24 |
| 36:23 | 40:8 | 17,20 | presentation |
| 37:6,19 | pieces 13:24 | 19:17,19, | 5:18 6:2 |
| percent | 14:3 29:12 | 20 22:5,9, | 23:2 41:13 |
| 3:17,19, | place 8:2 | 21,23 32:1 | presenting |
| 21,22 5:3, |  | 34:8, 9 | 6:14 |
| 4 11:15,19 | $14,15,23$ | 35:14,19, |  |
| 13:9,10 | 33:5 | 23 36:2,3 | prettier |
| 22:9,19 |  | 39:14 | 30.22 |
| 34:17 | Placer 26:9 |  | pretty 6:16 |
| 35:20 | plan 20:10 | populatio | 9:16 20:17 |
| 36:15,18 | 28:10 | $16: 5,9$ $19: 13$ 33:4 | 29:5 31:23 |
| percentage | plans 35:22 | possibility | primarily |
| 5:1 22:17, | Pleasant | $40: 6$ | 4:18 |
| 19 34:16 | 37:1 | post 25:15 | primary |
| perfect 6:6 |  | post 25.15 | 40:20 |
| 23:18 | pleased 5:21 | posted | principles |
| 25:12 | Pledge 2:8, | 24:11,17 | 7:2 |
| perfectly | 10,12 | $25: 24$ $27: 22,23$ | print 29:11 |
| 21:7 | pocket 36:9 | $37: 14$ | printed 38:1 |
| performers | point 14:13 $15: 5 \quad 25: 9$ | potential | priorities |
| 3:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 0: 0 \\ & 5: 23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 30:14 | 4:2 |
| period 12:9 | $40: 12$ | potentially | problem 3:5 |
| 23:6 | points 16:13 | 15:3 35:21 | proceedings |
| person 13:8 15:13 | polarized |  | 43:5 |
| perspective | 7:16 11:2 |  | process 6:15 |
|  | policy 18:3 | pre-map 2:24 | 9:15 22:25 |
| $34: 25$ | policy 18.3 | 6:1 | 24:25 |
| phone 30:1 | political |  | 25:2,4 |
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| $33: 2436: 6$ | pull 27:19 | 25 | 41:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40:10 | purple 14:8 | racial 16:17 | redistricting |
| 41:19 | purple 14.8 | racial 16.17 | $5: 18,22$ |
| 42:4,11 | purpose 23:3 | racially | 6:10, 20 |
| professor | purposes | 7:16 11:2 | $7: 1 \quad 10: 23$ |
| 16:24 | 34:6 |  | 12:5,8,15, |
| roficient | put 5:25 | railway | 16,21,22 |
| 3:18 | 12:6 15:9 | 14 | 14:11 |
|  | 23:25 | raising 8:21 | 15:18, 22 |
| program 31:1 | 29:12,20, | range 9:11 | 16:1,14,25 |
| programs | 21 31:12 | 13:9 23:20 | 17:19 |
| 4:14 | 38:7 | $36: 15,18$ | 18:11,19, |
| prohibit | puts 29:17 | rate 7:6 | 21,23 |
| 28:6 | putting | 15:24 | $25: 21$ |
| protected | 19:14 | RCSD 42:6 | 29:10 |
| 7:19 |  |  | $34: 23$ 35:3 |
| provide 5:10 | 2 | h | 37:18 |
| 23:15 31:5 |  |  | redistrictings |
| 37:22 | qualified | real 8:17 | 15:18 |
|  | 10:3 | 10:24 |  |
| provisions | question | 31:15 | redraw 33:25 |
| $6: 189: 12$ | 25:7 27:6 | reason 13:15 | redrawing |
|  | 31:18 | 26:7 35:16 | 30:19 |
| public 2:5, | 38:21 |  |  |
| 23,24,25 | 39:21 | recent 21:22 | redrawn |
| 3:1 6:1 |  | recently 9:4 | 33:18 |
| 11:24 15:8 | questions | 10:22 | reference |
| 18:6 19:18 | 6:3,19 |  | 36:24 37:7 |
| 23:5,8,14, | 20:14 29:1 | recitation |  |
| 25 24:4,14 | 41:8 | 2:12 | registrar $25: 4,5$ |
| 28:2,7 | quick 9:17 | record 43:6 |  |
| 29:22 | quickly 9:12 | recorded | related |
| 30:15 | 31:23 | 43:5 | 16:21 |
| 31:17 |  |  | relationship |
| 32:18 | R | Recording | 17:15 18:8 |
| 41:13,14 | R | 2:1 42:15 |  |
| 42:8 | race 16:15, | redistrict | main 33:15 |
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| talked 18:6, | $32: 8$ | tracts 21:6, | $14: 17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 38:13 | thousands | 16,25 | 20:19 |
| talking 6:23 | 35:7 | 33:10,15 | $31: 22$ |
| 17:15 | three- 22.8 | 39:3 40:16 | $32: 15$ |
| 20:22, 23 |  | trade-off | understanding |
| 22:21,23 | threshold | $36: 8$ | 25:13 |
| 30:15 | $8: 15$ | traditional | Unified's |
| technically | time 5:14 | 7:1 | 26:10 |
| 27:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 3,16 \\ & 7: 10 \quad 10: 21 \end{aligned}$ | transcribed | units 21:7 |
| telling 8:20 | 17:3,19 | 43:4 | unlimited |
| terms 6:21 | 23:3 24:3 | transcript | 9:10 |
| 9:5 12:7 | 26:21,23 | 43:6 | update 37:14 |
| 15:9 | 31:14 | Treasure |  |
| test 18:18 | $33: 1335: 8$ | 13:25 | uploading $30: 19$ |
| that'll | timeline $5: 24 \quad 9: 17$ | trick 27:19 | user- $36: 20$ |
| 22:23 | $26: 20$ | true 7:5 | utilize |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { thing } & 4: 24 \\ 7: 14 & 8: 2 \end{array}$ | times 23:3 | $43: 6$ | 13:14,15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10: 1 \\ & 16: 14.18 \end{aligned}$ | today 6:8 | $17,18,24$ | V |
| $17: 6,9$ | ton 34:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 9 \\ & 41: 21,23, \end{aligned}$ | version 30:8 |
| 18:21 | tonight | $2542: 2$ | 32:22 |
| 20:25 | 15:21 |  | $39: 3,4$ |
| 21:1,5 | $37: 14$ | tweaks 39:22 |  |
| 29:2 | top 22:2, | type 37:24 | Video 2:1 |
| 31:12,16 | $20,22$ |  | 42:15 |
| $32: 836: 19$ | topic 2:5 | U | virtually |
| things 4:14, 16 6:23 | total 22:21 | Uh-huh 30:21 | vote $24: 24$ |
| 9:19,24 | touch 4:25 | 31:3 | 26:4 27:10 |
| 10:16,17 | 14:4 20:4 | 38:16,25 | 28:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16: 2 \quad 17: 17 \\ & 23: 21 \end{aligned}$ | touching $14: 5$ | underpopulated $13: 18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { voted } 24: 17 \\ & 28: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33: 2,7 \\ & \text { thinking } \end{aligned}$ | tract 39:24 | understand $8: 7,8 \quad 12: 1$ | voters 8:24 |



