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MS. FONG: Okay. Good morning, everybody.
I'm going to call the October 28th, 2023, special meeting of the Roseville City School District Board of Education to order. It's a special meeting involving a public hearing involving the California Voting Rights Act. So we're going to start with the Pledge of Allegiance.

Holly, please.
(Recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance)
MS. FONG: Item 3.1 is agenda approval. Can
I have a motion to approve the agenda?
MR. BAQUERA: So moved.
MS. KRAFKA: I'll second.
MS. FONG: There's a motion by Trustee Baquera and a second by Trustee Krafka to approve the agenda.

Any comments or discussion? All those in favor say aye.

BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
MS. FONG: Opposed? Motion carries. 4.1, we're going to open the public hearing for -- to gather pre-map input on trustee areas, and we have a presentation from Redistricting Partners.

Welcome. we were talking, this is going to look the exact same. The focus really for today is educating the public, having more of an emphasis on how they can get involved in this process. So we'll be going over what the CVRA is, what districting is, the Voting Rights Act.

I'll be going through this a lot quicker than last time, and if anyone wants to watch the full presentation from last time, it is available on the website. And we will be, obviously, recording this, so if members of the community want to come back and watch this presentation or future ones, they will all be on the website.

So what is the California Voting Rights Act? It's essentially a law that effectively prohibits atlarge elections. And so this is why we are now going through the districting process. So we will be going to trustee areas. There we go.

So districting, at the heart of it, it is just impacting the election boundaries. So we are creating election districts, so folks will vote for their representative in their area, their trustee area. And it essentially makes two differences: So people only vote for those in their trustee area, and you have to live in that trustee area to run for that office.

I'm going to go through that.
Okay. So the most important aspect for educating the public is how we use the criteria for drawing maps. This is a ranked set of criteria through the Fair Maps Act, a California law, and this is what we use to guide the process.

So the first criteria that we use, and the most important one, is making sure that each district, each trustee area, has relatively equal population. And what that means is we're looking at census population to determine whether it's equal, so you're always going to get the census population for each map.

You're also going to get the CVAP, the Citizen Voting Age Population, for each map as well. And it has to be under a 10 percent total deviation, and I'll get into how we calculate that in a little bit.

Next, we want to make sure that the map is contiguous. So that essentially just means that it's one whole piece and we're not going from one part of the district and going to another and saying that's one trustee area. And there are two different types of contiguity. There's literal contiguity and functional.

Literal means you're looking at a map, and it's one whole piece, and that's contiguous.

Functional means that you can literally travel throughout that trustee area and not have anything obstructing you from going from one part to the other.

So I think last time we used an example of a train, railroad tracks cutting through a district. So we want to make sure that we have the ability to travel throughout this trustee area.

And this is an example of what contiguity is not. And you will see that purple district is not one whole piece, so that would be an example of something that's not contiguous. And then here's the railroad that separates District 5 from 4 and 3. So you'll see that was used as a natural boundary and -- while thinking about contiguity.

And then maintain communities of interest. So this is the most important question for not only the trustees, but also for community members. Us as demographers, we can tell you all about, you know, having equal size, whether it's contiguous, compactness, but the only way for us to answer maintain communities of interest is getting community input and having input from the board.

So communities of interest, we like to define it as a group of people who are -- who have common interests and would benefit from being
districted together. We like to see them as building blocks of trustee areas. It's supposed to be a subjective and open-ended definition to be as inclusive as possible, so there really is no right or wrong.

But there are some guidelines, and the Voting Rights Act does reference some communities of interest. The three most common protected classes in California are Latinos, Asians, and African Americans, but we do just want to emphasize that race cannot be the predominant factor when drawing lines.

So some of the questions or aspects that we're looking for for communities of interest is definitions of their group. So is there a culture or characteristic bond that defines this community? Are they geographic in nature? Can they be mapped? Obviously, as demographers, that's what we're looking for, the mapability of this community. And then what's the relationship to Roseville City School District?

And then we want to make sure that the lines are easy to identify and they're understandable, so following the natural boundaries or manmade boundaries like, for example, that railroad.

And then keep districts compact. So that's essentially avoiding those funny-looking shapes that people associate with gerrymandering. And last time,

Paul talked about all the differences in terms of, you know, potential mathematical formulas. California doesn't really use that. We just have a simple definition of not bypassing nearby population for a more distant one.

And here's an example of compactness. You'll see on the left was the original districting, and on the right is the more compact version when they went through their redistricting. And then we went through this last time, the mechanics of redistricting. These are census blocks and census block groups and census tracks.

For the purposes of today, the most important thing for the public to know is we cannot break up census blocks. So we get this census data from the U.S. Census Bureau, and it's not only just numbers, but it's also the geographic data that they send us. So we can't estimate, and if we split up blocks, then we're in the realm of estimation, and then we would be using incorrect census data.

So here is the district at large. You'll see that we have a total population of over 110,000 folks, and this is based on the 2020 census data. We will not be using, unfortunately, more updated census data. We have to use the 2020 census data. Even if we
were districting four years from now, we would still have to use this census data, so we are obligated to use that.

And then what we also have, the citizen voting age population data. You will always receive both datasets, and the CVAP is there just to make sure that we're following the -- the Federal Voting Rights Act. So both very important datasets in this districting.

So the hearing structure for not only the last time and today's hearing, but here is the next three as well. So the first two meetings are pre-map meetings. The whole point is to educate and receive feedback from the public on what they want to see and talk about their communities.

At the next hearing, you will be receiving at least three maps, and hopefully we'll be receiving maps from the public. So any public input we receive from now until then will be -- we will talk about it at the next hearing and present maps as well.

Fourth hearing, arguably the most important hearing, you will be whittling down the map to hopefully just one or two at that point to signal your preferred map. And then fifth hearing would be the adoption. okay.

MS. STITT: Yeah.
MS. CONSTANT: You pulled up the next page. Thank you.

MS. STITT: Oh, yes.
Yeah. So today, October 28th, is the public outreach meeting being held on a Saturday. So hopefully, we wanted to reach the public and offer a time when it wasn't a workday and hopefully a more convenient time for them. October 13th will be the introduction of the maps, October 27 th will be the revised maps, and then -- sorry, November 13th and November 27th. And then December 11th is the final vote.

So that is the whole presentation. I do want to let the public know, and hopefully if they're watching online -- let me see if I can -- this is available online. This is the map. It is broken up into census data, census numbers, and neighborhoods. You can download it. It's also available here at meetings.

So if you show up to any public meetings, these maps are available, and you can draw your own districts. I will be here. If there are any public --
members of the public, I can help them go through it, but we do have accurate numbers on here where folks can draw their map, break it up into five districts, and draw pretty accurate numbers. So I want to let the public know that they have the ability to draw if they want to.

MS. FONG: And can they submit their maps electronically, or do they have to print them out and bring them to us?

MS. STITT: So they can definitely submit it electronically. I know that Jessica has an e-mail. So it's feedback@rcsdk8.org, is the e-mail address that they send it to. Or they can mail it in physically, or they can -- and they can either draw it online or in person.

MS. FONG: Okay.
Trustee Constant?
MS. CONSTANT: Can you pull back up the dates --

MS. STITT: Yeah.
MS. CONSTANT: -- for our meetings, please?
So I -- I was just kind of -- I -- I -- looking at, like, the dates, so I -- I think we need to maybe consider bumping up our deadline for our public maps because that deadline is December 1st.
meeting, and I think that's a little too late for us to really kind of take into consideration what the community is looking for, too. So I don't know what the best way to do that -- or if there is a better date, but $I$ would personally like to be able -- I'll -I -- I do know that they can submit them, like -MS. STITT: Now.

MS. CONSTANT: -- yesterday.
MS. STITT: Right.
MS. CONSTANT: But if we're saying all the way until December 1st, I think it's too late for -- it really --

MS. HULL: Yeah. We can -- we can
definitely move that up. I mean, that was just the very last day --

MS. CONSTANT: Yeah.
MS. HULL: -- that we could receive them, but --

MS. CONSTANT: Yeah.
MS. HULL: -- we can move it up a week, and that way you have just a little bit more time to think about maps.

MS. CONSTANT: Yeah. I think because I -- I think it'd be important for us to have it at one of --

1 either the third or fourth meeting to be able to -- I mean, and obviously, I know they're going to be able to submit yesterday, but $I$ just don't want to -- anyone to feel like, well, gosh, you know, you waited until it was the final vote for you to look at my map. So I just don't want anyone to feel like that, but -MS. FONG: Yeah.

MS. CONSTANT: Thank you. That's all. MS. FONG: And -- and if we get 30 maps, are we going to look at -- we're going to look at all 30, or there's going to be -- is there a process of sort of whittling those down that you guys will take care of, or are we going to see every single map that gets submitted?

MS. STITT: So it really depends on your preference. So we usually -- obviously, we'll give you all of the documents. The staff will give you all of the documents, so you'll have the ability to look at all of them. And, you know, hopefully we'll be getting a lot, but it -- and I can work with staff to put together a process.

Usually, boards want to see all of them, and it's not necessarily, we don't like them. It's more like, we like this one; let's turn that into a draft. So you don't have to say, you know, which ones you
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don't like. You can say which ones you do like and then turn that into a draft map. You'll uplift it.

Does that make sense?
MS. KRAFKA: Yeah. And --
MS. CONSTANT: Oh, sorry. Go ahead.
MS. KRAFKA: I was just going to say, would you be able to -- when we receive all the maps, I assume or hope that someone will be calling out, okay, this one has a concern --

MS. STITT: Uh-huh.
MS. CONSTANT: -- that doesn't meet this criteria, like --

MS. STITT: Absolutely.
MS. CONSTANT: Okay. Perfect.
MS. STITT: Yeah. We'll let you know if they are viable --

MS. FONG: Right.
MS. STITT: -- if they are under 10 percent deviation, if they are contiguous. So all of the Fair Maps Act requirements, we'll let you know. And if they aren't following the Fair Maps Act requirements, but they're still, you know, adjustable, we'll let you know how it can be adjusted to -- so it can follow the guidelines.

MS. CONSTANT: I don't know how often this

Page 14 would happen, but if there's a couple that are actually submitted, and they're, like, literally the same or close -- as close to it -- I don't know if that's ever happened because I don't know how many people, like, submit tons of maps to districts, but I'm assuming we would still get them, but saying, hey, these two are literally identical. Does that --

MS. STITT: Yeah.
MS. CONSTANT: Okay.
MS. STITT: Yeah, that happens from time to time, but it's mostly -- you know, they look almost the same with minor changes. So us, as demographers, we're really looking for those trends so we can see what, generally, the public thinks is -- are good shapes for districts.

MS. FONG: And -- and then, if individuals maybe just do a -- their own district, maybe where they live is -- because I'm -- I understood that you could do just initial -- one district.

MS. STITT: Yes.
MS. FONG: You don't have to do all five districts. So they -- we would just get that one district, or would you -- by itself, and then -- or would you add on the other four to make it a complete map? definitely respect what they drew and not try to add anything else to it. So we'll use that still as public testimony --

MS. FONG: Okay.
MS. STITT: -- as a preferred trustee area.
MS. FONG: Any other questions or comments?
Any other -- any public comments? You are welcome to come up.

MR. BAQUERA: Thank you, Liz.
MS. FONG: Thank you, Liz.
Welcome.
MS. CUTHBERTSON: I got my notes. I did it this morning. Hi, everybody. I'm Holly Cuthbertson. I live in Sierra Gardens neighborhood, and I'm a very, very proud Eich parent. I have a sixth grader at Eich this year, and it has been a fabulous transition. So thank you for holding a Saturday meeting. It allowed me to be here and just to be able to participate in the process.

So districting, obviously, is only going to affect elections, right? And not how trustees govern or as representatives of the whole community. And I know it's kind of a pain to go through this process. This transition is going to be kind of hard. You know,

Page 16 it's going to occur over the course of several years. So I -- I appreciate your time today. You know, having representation on the governing board from voices who live in different neighborhoods and different communities I think will, in the long-term, be a valuable change. And, you know, I was fortunate to serve, myself, on the Roseville Redistricting Commission, and I wanted to share a couple of takeaways from things that I learned from that process.

The public may take more increased interest once you release the draft maps. That was my experience. It was very quiet, and then once the maps came out, like, a -- a herd of people came in with interest in their own community like, say, if something got divided, that kind of thing. So you might see that.

I hope you see that as we move through the process. And then there's no such thing as a perfect map. I think we learned that, too. You know, you can't keep everybody happy. You know, some people will be disappointed. Others will be thrilled. That's just part of the process. And then the timeline goes really fast.

And Julie, what you said about making sure
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that -- that the public releases -- gets their maps to you early $I$ think is really important. Waiting until the deadline that you had before of December 1st is kind of late in the game, so the sooner --

I would say, public, if you're listening, you're on Zoom, the sooner you get your maps in, the better it'll be, because it gives you all more time to look at what's being presented, and it gives the consultant more time to put everything together. So it's good.

Also wanted to say, communities of interest is, like, totally subjective thing, I think. For me, for -- for Roseville City, I would think it would be the attendance boundaries, possibly for the elementary schools, as kind of a starting point.

And once you realize that -- that we can't do it for everybody, for every single school, like keeping a school in one district, then look at possibly neighborhood associations and neighborhoods because those I -- have a little bit more wiggle room, I think. It's easier to include neighborhoods than it is to include school district boundaries, I guess. I did a little analysis myself, playing around with the tool online, and I was like, oh yeah, you can get most of the neighborhoods together. But this -- the
attendance boundaries is a little bit more tricky.
I'm hoping you consider maps that are not just drawn with, like, the PDF tool that the consultant provided. I found that it's -- yes, while it's quick and it simplifies the process, it wasn't as helpful when I was looking at -- myself, kind of looking at attendance boundaries, looking at neighborhoods.

I needed more technology to -- to look at the layers and, you know, toggle things on and off and change, kind of, populations back and forth to try to make it balanced.

And I think, you know, because we just have the map to be able -- for the public to draw, they're missing out on, like, the demographic information. So there are tools out there to be able to get it. It's easy to find online, and it's free.

But, you know, one of the things I'll be doing when $I$ draw my map is making sure that I'm not, like, splitting racial groups in half and neighborhoods because it's not something I'd be able to tell from, like, looking at a PDF.

So I hope you guys consider maps that are drawn, like, without this tool, but that still meet the criteria and present you with the information, the demographic information. So that's all I wanted to
say. Thanks for your time.
MS. FONG: Perfect. Thank you for coming, Holly.

MS. CUTHBERTSON: Yeah. Yeah.
MS. CONSTANT: I have one more thing.
MS. FONG: Yep.
MS. CONSTANT: Sorry. I have one more.
MS. FONG: Sure. Go ahead, Trustee
Constant.
MS. CONSTANT: So is there (inaudible) ask you to also -- I know we talked about it last meeting -- is to have a map with the elementary schools on the actual map or -- so just --

MS. FONG: For the public to look at?
MS. CONSTANT: -- for the public. Yeah.
MS. FONG: Yeah.
MS. CONSTANT: It'd be -- either be online or -- because I -- I was looking at the -- our website and seeing that the maps, that they're still -- don't have -- I mean, they have the neighborhoods, which is great, but really seeing where our schools are so that if the -- if our public is looking at creating their own maps, they're able to kind of keep those neighborhoods together, maybe based on the attendance areas or -- if possible.

Page 20 week, or at least to staff to review, with a -- an overlay of the attendance zones.

MS. CONSTANT: Okay.
MS. STITT: So yes. We will put that together.

MS. CONSTANT: And -- and on the blocks, I know we can't go into the little, tiny ones, but on the middle group census blocks, are we -- I -- I didn't really dive into -- I'm not -- I'm not as, you know, good at the computer part, so I didn't really dive into the tool yet.

But is -- are you able to -- to dive into the -- some of those blocks a little bit better if you're -- you're close on those numbers so our deviation is closer?

MS. STITT: Yeah.
MS. CONSTANT: Okay.
MS. STITT: Yes. Yeah.
MS. CONSTANT: Okay.
MS. STITT: All of that. And we typically don't encourage live drawing, but if you do want to do any live drawing -- like, for example, when we get to meeting 4, you really like a map, but you just want one change to a census block. We can pull that up and show
you what the difference would be, as Holly was describing. You could see the population changes using what we used, Maptitude. So we can go into those small little changes of census blocks. Uh-huh.

MS. FONG: Yeah. Yeah. I -- I agree. I would love to see the overlay of the elementary school districts. I think that's a huge criteria for -- for most of us on the board, and we would want that to be reflected in the public or let -- so they would know exactly -- you know, they would -- they'd be able to use that as a guide as well. So that would be great if you could get that up as soon as you could.

MS. STITT: Absolutely.
MS. FONG: Okay. Seeing no other individuals here for public -- for the public comment portion. I'm going to close the public hearing.

And any comments from the superintendent?
MR. GARCIA: No comments.
MS. FONG: Trustee Zachreson?
MR. ZACHRESON: No comments.
MS. FONG: Trustee Krafka?
MS. KRAFKA: No comments.
MS. FONG: Trustee Constant?
MS. CONSTANT: No comment.
MS. FONG: Trustee Baquera?
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